

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PAGE 10, Dox 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
WWW.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,042	07/07/2003	Hiroaki Takezawa	56937-071 4692		
7	590 03/24/2004		EXAMINER		
Ramyar M. Farid			KOPEC, MARK T		
McDermott, W 600 13th Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	OC 20005-3096	1751	,		
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					SK			
ŧ		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	,			
		10/613,042		TAKEZAWA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	,	Art Unit				
		Mark Kopec	-4-4	1751	Idea			
Period fo					iaress			
THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPARALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioe to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statually received by the Office later than three months after the mail dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l.  1.136(a). In no event, he  ply within the statutory  d will apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day bire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status								
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
Dispositi	on of Claims				ļ			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-21 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-21 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	wn from conside						
Applicati	on Papers							
10)🛚	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the	a) accepted on the drawing(s) be hection is required	neld in abeyance. Se if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 (	CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been r ents have been r riority document eau (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Applica s have been receiv 7.2(a)).	tion No. <u>09/947,3</u> ved in this Nationa				
2)  Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	(08) 5	Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail I  Notice of Informal Other:		TO-152)			

Art Unit: 1751

This application is a CON of S.N. 09/947,353 (filed 9/7/01, now U.S. 6,620,345). The preliminary amendment filed 7/7/03 is entered. Claims 1-7 and 9-21 are currently pending.

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/947,353, filed on 9/7/01.

The restriction requirement set forth in parent application 09/947,353, Paper #5 (mailed 3/25/02), is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-7) was confirmed with Mr. Ramyar M. Farid on 3/22/04. Claims 9-21 are withdrawn from consideration.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1751

Claims 1-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,620,345.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant claims and the claims of 6,620,345 are drawn to the same conductive adhesive agent compositions. The instant claims are merely broader in scope as the solvent type(s) are not specified.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere* Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for

Art Unit: 1751

establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gallagher et al (5,853,622).

Gallagher et al discloses transient liquid phase sintering conductive adhesives. The adhesive compositions comprise high melting point metal or alloy, low melting point solder, resin

Art Unit: 1751

(optional), crossOlinking agent, and optional reactive monomer (Col 5, lines 30-45). Gallagher specifically teaches:

The principal property of a protected curing agent employed in the practice of the invention is that it remain largely uncreative until it is need to flux the metal powder and cross-link the resin. Protection may be achieved by chemically binding the agent with a chemically or thermally- triggered species so that it becomes reactive only at or near the time the solder powder melts. Protection may also be achieved by encapsulating the curing agent in a shell of non-reactive material which releases the curing agent only at or near the melting time of the solder powder. (Col 8, lines 25-35)

The reference additionally teaches, with respect to the binder material, "curing of the resin must occur slowly relative to the time required to reach melting point of the solder powder" (Col 7, lines 35-40). The disclosed "protected curing agents" meet each of the claimed limitations regarding "elution preventing film-forming agent".

The reference is anticipatory.

In the event that any minor modifications are necessary to meet the claimed limitations, such as minor variation in percentages or reaction temperatures, such modifications are well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

In view of the foregoing, the above claims have failed to patentably distinguish over the applied art.

Art Unit: 1751

The remaining references listed on form 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Applicant is reminded that any evidence to be presented in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.131 or 1.132 should be submitted before final rejection in order to be considered timely.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Kopec whose telephone number is (571) 272-1319. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,042 Page 7

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Kopec Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

MK March 22, 2004